Released: February 15, 2002

•	Before the ommunications Commission	RECEIVED & INSPECTED
Washington, DC 20554		FEB 2 6 2002
In the Matter of	)	FCC - MAILROOM
Request for Review of the	)	
Decision of the	)	
Universal Service Administrator by	)	
St. Francis Xavier School Acushnet, Massachusetts	) ) File No. SLD-	-252866
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service	) CC Docket No	o. 96-45
Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association,	•	o. 97-21 🗸
ORDER		

By the Accounting Policy Division, Common Carrier Bureau:

Adopted: February 13, 2002

- 1. The Accounting Policy Division has under consideration a Request for Review filed by St. Francis Xavier School (St. Francis Xavier), Acushnet, Massachusetts. St. Francis Xavier seeks review of a decision issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (Administrator) to reject St. Francis Xavier's appeal on the grounds that it was untimely filed. For the reasons set forth below, we deny St. Francis Xavier's Request for Review.
- 2. SLD issued a Funding Commitment Decision Letter on July 23, 2001, denying St. Francis Xavier's request for discounted services under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, SLD denied St. Francis Xavier's request for discounts for telecommunications service, Funding Request Numbers (FRNs) 623634, 623786, 623871, and 623978.<sup>4</sup> On September 4, 2001, St. Francis Xavier filed an appeal of SLD's decision to deny

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Letter from Karen P. Hoyle, St. Francis Xavier School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed December 11, 2001 (Request for Review).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Request for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to Lisa Cote, St. Francis Xavier School, dated July 23, 2001 (Funding Commitment Decision Letter).

<sup>4</sup> Id.

FRNs 623634, 623786, 623871, and 623978.<sup>5</sup> On September 21, 2001, SLD issued an Administrator's Decision on Appeal indicating that it would not consider St. Francis Xavier's appeal because it was received more than 30 days after the July 23, 2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter was issued.<sup>6</sup> St. Francis Xavier subsequently filed the instant Request for Review with the Commission.

- 3. For requests seeking review of decisions issued before August 13, 2001, under section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules, an appeal must be filed with the Commission or SLD within 30 days of the issuance of the decision that the party seeks to have reviewed. Documents are considered to be filed with the Commission or SLD only upon receipt. The 30-day deadline contained in section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules applies to all such requests for review filed by a party affected by a decision issued by the Administrator. Because St. Francis Xavier failed to file an appeal of the July 23, 2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter within the requisite 30-day appeal period, we affirm SLD's decision to dismiss St. Francis Xavier's appeal to SLD as untimely and deny the instant Request for Review.
- 4. To the extent that St. Francis Xavier is requesting that we waive the 30-day deadline established in section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules, we deny that request as well. The Commission may waive any provision of its rules, but a request for waiver must be supported by a showing of good cause. St. Francis Xavier has not shown good cause for the untimely filing of its initial appeal. St. Francis Xavier explains that it did not file an appeal until the 30-day appeal period had passed because it did not open the July 23, 2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter until August 30, 2001, when the school reopened after summer recess.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Letter from Karen P. Hoyle, St. Francis Xavier School, to Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, filed September 4, 2001 (Request for Administrator Review).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to Karen P. Hoyle, St. Francis Xavier School, dated September 21, 2001 (Administrator's Decision on Appeal).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> We note that, due to recent disruptions in the reliability of the mail service, the 30-day appeal period has been extended by an additional 30 days for requests seeking review of decisions issued on or after August 13, 2001. See Implementation of Interim Filing Procedures for Filings of Requests for Review, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, FCC 01-376 (rel. Dec. 26, 2001), as corrected by Implementation of Interim Filing Procedures for Filings of Requests for Review, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Errata (Com. Car. Bur. rel. Dec. 28, 2001) and (Com. Car. Bur. rel. Jan. 4, 2002). Because the July 23, 2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter was issued before August 13, 2001, the extended appeal period does not apply to St. Francis Xavier.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(b).

<sup>11</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

<sup>12</sup> Request for Review.

- 5. We conclude that St. Francis Xavier has not demonstrated a sufficient basis for waiving the Commission's rules. Waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. In requesting funds from the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism, the applicant has certain responsibilities. The applicant bears the burden of submitting its appeal to SLD within the established deadline if the applicant wishes its appeal to be considered on the merits
- 6. The particular facts of this case do not rise to the level of special circumstances required for a deviation from the general rule. In light of the thousands of applications that SLD reviews and processes each year, it is administratively necessary to place on the applicant the responsibility of adhering strictly to its filing deadlines. In order for the program to work efficiently, the applicant must assume responsibility for timely submission of its appeal to SLD if it wishes its appeal to be considered on the merits. An applicant must take responsibility for the action or inaction of those employees, consultants and other representatives to whom it gives responsibility for submitting timely appeals of SLD funding decisions on its behalf. Here, St. Francis Xavier fails to present good cause as to why it could not timely file its appeal to SLD. We therefore find no basis for waiving the appeal filing deadline.
- 7. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a), that the Request for Review filed by St. Francis Xavier School, Acushnet, Massachusetts, on December 11, 2001, and the request to waive the 30-day time limit in which to file an appeal ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert

Deputy Chief, Accounting Policy Division

Common Carrier Bureau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Request for Review by Anderson School Staatsburg, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, File No. SLD-133664, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 15 FCC Rcd 25610 (Com. Car. Bur. rel. Nov. 24, 2000), para. 8 ("In light of the thousands of applications that SLD reviews and processes each funding year, it is administratively necessary to place on the applicant the responsibility of understanding all relevant program rules and procedures.").